

My Culture Is NOT a Costume

A Student Workbook on Identity, Respect, and Upstander Action



Student Name:

Class Period:

Section 1: Culture, Identity, and Costumes



Learning Objective: Distinguish clearly between cultural appreciation, cultural exchange, and cultural appropriation; analyze how stereotypes and power imbalances transform sacred items into disposable props.

Key Vocabulary:

- **Identity:** The combination of traditions, heritage, beliefs, and lived experiences that define who a person or community is.
- **Stereotype:** An oversimplified, generalized, and often harmful belief or caricature applied to an entire group.
- **Cultural Appropriation:** Taking sacred symbols, traditional regalia, or customs without permission or understanding, often by a dominant group for entertainment or profit.
- **Microaggression:** An everyday remark, gesture, or visual insult that subtly expresses prejudice toward a marginalized group.

Understanding Cultural Engagement: Dressing up allows creativity, but real cultures are not costumes. When party outfits mimic living cultures, they strip away sacred context and reduce human beings to disposable caricatures. Use the 3-Step Respect Filter below to evaluate choices.

Step 1: Human Culture?	Does the costume represent a real racial, ethnic, or religious group? If yes, it is an identity, not a costume.
Step 2: Sacred or Earned?	Does the item carry spiritual meaning, ancestral honors, or ceremonial duties (such as an Indigenous war bonnet)?
Step 3: Relies on Tropes?	Does the outfit exaggerate physical traits, speech, or traditional garments for laughs or novelty?

Support Hint: Ask yourself whether the community actively invited participation, or if an item was purchased as a disposable joke.

1. Read the three scenarios below. Classify each as **Cultural Appreciation**, **Cultural Exchange**, or **Cultural Appropriation**. Then explain the real-world impact of the choice.

Scenario	Classification	Impact on the Community
A. Wearing a plastic Indigenous feathered war bonnet to a Halloween costume party.		
B. Attending a classmate's Diwali celebration and wearing a traditional kurta gifted by their family.		
C. Visiting a museum exhibit to study Mexican weaving methods and sketching traditional patterns in a journal.		

2. An earned ceremonial headdress (regalia) requires years of leadership, community trust, and spiritual responsibility. Answer parts (a) and (b) below.

(a) Contrast sacred regalia with a fictional costume prop. Why can a person take off a costume at midnight while a community cannot take off their lived heritage?

(b) **Challenge Extension:** A classmate claims: "I did not mean to offend anyone when I bought this costume, so no harm was done." Explain why a speaker's positive intent does not erase community harm.

Section 2: Everyday Messages and Speaking Up



Learning Objective: Delineate reasoned arguments from unsupported claims about everyday microaggressions; apply a 3-part critical inquiry checklist to script constructive calling-in responses.

Key Vocabulary:

- **Perspective:** A particular way of regarding situations, shaped by lived experience, culture, and family history.
- **Bias:** An unfair preference for or dislike of a particular group, often unexamined or unconscious.
- **Reasoned Argument:** A claim backed by factual evidence, historical context, and logical reasoning.
- **Unsupported Claim:** A statement based purely on personal opinion, dismissive assumptions, or gut feelings without factual backing.

The 3-Part Critical Inquiry Checklist: When evaluating whether a costume, comment, or joke is respectful, run it through three tests:

1. Historical Context

Does this symbol or garment originate from a history of oppression, discrimination, or minstrel caricatures?

2. Power Dynamics

Is a dominant cultural group turning the lived identity of a marginalized group into a temporary punchline?

3. Intent vs. Impact

Even if the wearer meant no harm, will the outfit cause classmates to feel mocked, demeaned, or excluded?

1. Examine the peer statements below. Identify each as a **Reasoned Argument** or an **Unsupported Claim**, and explain your choice using evidence from the Critical Inquiry Checklist.

Peer Statement	Claim or Argument?	Explanation of Reasoning
"Halloween is just for fun. People get upset over nothing, and anyone should wear whatever they buy at the store."		
"Wearing another culture's ceremonial dress as a gag causes harm because it reduces living traditions to cartoon props."		

2. "Calling in" invites someone to reflect on their choices without public humiliation. Use the provided sentence starters to craft a response to a real peer situation.

Support Sentence Starters:

- *Curiosity*: "What made you choose that costume? Did you know that symbol represents..."
- *Perspective*: "I know you meant it as a joke, but the impact actually..."
- *Alternative*: "Our school values everyone. How about we dress up as..."

Scenario: A classmate arrives at school wearing an oversized sombrero, fake mustache, and serape, saying, "I'm a Mexican party guy!"

(a) Write a two-sentence calling-in response using **Curiosity** and an **Alternative**.

(b) Challenge Extension: Evaluate how power dynamics make caricaturing a marginalized group far more harmful than dressing up as an astronaut or pirate.

Section 3: It's Just a Joke: Microaggressions



Learning Objective: Identify hidden stereotypes in humor; define punching down, invalidation, and active allyship; formulate disarming responses when biased humor is excused as a joke.

Key Vocabulary:

- **Punching Down:** Directing a joke at the expense of an individual or community with less social power or historical protection.
- **Invalidation:** Dismissing or minimizing someone's genuine feelings of hurt by claiming they are overreacting.
- **Active Allyship:** Taking ongoing personal action to support peers facing bias, rather than staying silent.
- **Subtext:** The unspoken, implied message hidden beneath the literal words of a comment.

The 3-Step Joke Filter: True humor builds connection through shared joy. Biased jokes rely on belittling others. Before laughing or repeating a remark, test it:

1. Target Check	2. Power Check	3. Room Check
Does the punchline rely on mocking an accent, cultural garment, or racial heritage?	Is the joke punching down against a group that faces systemic prejudice?	Is everyone laughing together, or are people silent, tense, and uncomfortable?

The Foot-Stepping Analogy: If you accidentally step on someone's foot, their foot still hurts. You would never shout, "Stop overreacting, I did not intend to step on you!" You apologize and step off. In social interactions, impact always matters more than intent.

1. Deconstruct the cafeteria comments below. Uncover the hidden subtext beneath each statement and explain why it represents a microaggression.

Literal Comment	Hidden Subtext (Unspoken Message)	Why It Punches Down
"Your lunch looks and smells so weird. What is that gross stuff?"		

"You speak English so well for someone from your background!"		
"Can I touch your hair? It feels like a fluffy pet!"		

2. When a classmate makes an offensive cultural comment and laughs, "Can't you take a joke?", calling them out aggressively often causes them to get defensive.

(a) Apply the **Curiosity-First Script** (*"I do not get it. What makes that funny? Can you explain the joke to me?"*). Explain why forcing someone to explain their punchline disarms biased humor.

(b) **Challenge Extension:** Examine the escalation of microaggressions: Casual Slurs/Jokes → Normalized Bias → Dehumanization. How does tolerating hallway jokes pave the way for harmful costumes and school-wide bullying?

Section 4: When Costumes Carry History



Learning Objective: Connect caricatured costumes and blackface to historical minstrelsy and systemic oppression; analyze why historical symbols retain trauma regardless of modern intent.

Key Vocabulary:

- **Minstrelsy:** 19th-century theatrical shows where white performers used burnt cork and caricature to mock Black Americans and justify enslavement.
- **Blackface:** Dark makeup worn to create degrading, exaggerated caricatures of Black people; an instrument of racial dehumanization.
- **Caricature:** An exaggerated visual representation designed to ridicule, demean, or dehumanize a community.
- **Historical Trauma:** The collective emotional and psychological injury accumulated across generations due to systemic oppression.

The Roots of Caricature: Beginning in the 1830s, minstrel shows used blackface to portray Black people as foolish, inferior, and contented with slavery. These shows were not innocent theater; they were political propaganda that convinced the public that Black Americans did not deserve civil rights or human dignity.

Personal Intent

"I just wanted to look like my favorite athlete or singer! I didn't mean anything bad by painting my face."

Historical Reality

Painting skin mimics the exact tool used to mock and oppress Black Americans. The public sees a traumatic symbol, not private thoughts.

The Historical Context Filter: (1) Trace Origin → (2) Check Harm → (3) Weigh Impact → (4) Choose Respect (honor accomplishments through gear, never racial traits).

1. Evaluate the case study below and answer the questions that follow.

Fictional practice text: The Track Star Tribute

For historical biography day, Alex wants to honor track star Jesse Owens, who won four Olympic gold medals in 1936 while defying Nazi racial theories. Alex considers applying dark bronzer makeup to his face so that his tribute looks "completely authentic."

(a) Using the Historical Context Filter, explain why altering skin color transforms an honorable biography project into a deeply offensive caricature.

(b) Describe two specific, respectful items Alex can wear or carry to honor Jesse Owens without painting skin or altering facial features.

2. Generational trauma means that certain symbols carry painful history that cannot be wiped away by a costume party.

(a) Contrast wearing a sports hero's jersey with altering your skin or physical traits. Why does copying a uniform honor an achievement, while altering skin mimics racial caricature?

(b) Challenge Extension: Defend this claim: *"A modern individual cannot decide that a historically traumatic symbol has lost its meaning."* Use historical evidence of minstrelsy to support your argument.

Section 5: Cosplay and Respectful Representation



Learning Objective: Differentiate fictional character cosplay from cultural appropriation using the 4 Respect Filters; redesign problematic costume ideas by focusing on props, gear, and character values.

Key Vocabulary:

- **Cosplay:** The creative hobby of dressing up as a specific fictional character from books, movies, animation, or video games.
- **Cultural Exchange:** A two-way, mutually respectful sharing of traditions based on invitation, relationship, and understanding.
- **Respectful Representation:** Honoring a character's story, values, and gear without altering your skin, hair texture, or mocking heritage.
- **Fictional vs. Living:** Fictional characters exist in crafted storylines; living cultures represent real human beings with sacred histories.

The 4 Respect Filters for Costumes and Cosplay:

1. Specific Identity	2. Sacred Meaning	3. Natural Body	4. Real Invitation
Are you dressing as a specific fictional character, or an entire ethnic group?	Does any part of the outfit include earned spiritual regalia or sacred symbols?	Are you keeping your own natural skin tone and facial traits unchanged?	Were you invited by community members to wear traditional garments for an event?

Redesigning Problematic Ideas: When a costume idea crosses the line, you do not have to cancel the fun. Shift focus from race or cultural stereotypes to iconic fictional props, heroic tools, and storyline gear.

1. Review the four costume ideas in the table below. Use the 4 Respect Filters to evaluate each, and propose a respectful fix where needed.

Costume Idea	Respectful?	Analysis or Respectful Redesign
--------------	-------------	---------------------------------

Dressing as Marvel's Black Panther by wearing the Panther suit and mask, without painting skin.		
Buying an "Arabian Sheikh" party pack with a fake beard, robe, and fake money stacks.		
Wearing a traditional Scottish kilt given by your host family to attend a Scottish wedding.		
Dressing as Disney's Maui by wearing a padded brown bodysuit printed with Polynesian tatau.		

2. Put respectful redesign principles into practice.

(a) Choose one problematic costume idea that stereotypes a living culture. Redesign it into a respectful fictional character tribute by listing three specific props or costume pieces that celebrate storytelling rather than caricature.

(b) Challenge Extension: Formulate three clear guidelines that middle school students should follow when they are invited to participate in a cultural ceremony outside their own heritage.

Section 6: What Did You Really Say?



Learning Objective: Contrast speaker intent with listener impact; define subtext and conversational accountability; execute the 3-step repair routine when harm occurs.

Key Vocabulary:

- **Intent:** The internal motivation, thoughts, or purpose behind someone's spoken words or actions.
- **Impact:** The actual emotional, psychological, or social result experienced by the listener.
- **Conversational Accountability:** Taking honest ownership of how words affected another person, without offering defensive excuses.
- **Validation:** Confirming and respecting another person's feelings and perspective as real and worthwhile.

The 3-Step Repair Routine: When someone tells you that your words or costume caused hurt, our immediate instinct is often to argue: "That is not what I meant!" Growth requires moving from defensiveness to empathy.

1. Listen Without Defending

Pause, breathe, and put your ego aside. Do not interrupt or explain your good intentions.

2. Acknowledge Impact

Validate how your words landed: "I hear you, and I see that what I said was hurtful and dismissive."

3. Commit to Change

Take responsibility to learn: "I am going to read up on this so I never repeat that harmful stereotype."

1. Deconstruct the statements below. Identify what the speaker thought they were expressing (Intent) versus what the listener actually experienced (Impact).

Spoken Remark	Speaker Intent (What was meant)	Listener Impact (What was heard)
"Where are you really from? No, I mean where are your parents originally from?"		
"You are so articulate and well-spoken today!"		

"I do not see color at all; I just see human beings."		
---	--	--

2. Read the dialogue scenario and write a mature conversational repair.

Fictional practice text: The Advisory Check-In

During advisory, Jordan said to Maya: "Why are you wearing that scarf on your head? It looks so hot and uncomfortable." Maya looked down, crossed her arms, and withdrew from the group discussion. After class, Jordan realizes Maya was deeply hurt by the comment about her hijab.

(a) Write a three-sentence repair dialogue for Jordan using the **3-Step Repair Routine** (Listen, Acknowledge Impact, Commit to Change).

Sentence Frame Support: *"Maya, I wanted to apologize for what I said earlier. I realize that my comment [acknowledge impact]... In the future, I will [commit to change]..."*

(b) **Challenge Extension:** Paraphrase Maya's perspective in your own words without using defensive justifications. Why does asking someone to defend their religious or cultural attire make school feel unsafe?

Section 7: Say Something, Change Something



Learning Objective: Distinguish bystander and upstander roles in challenging stereotyping; apply the 3D Upstander Strategy (Direct, Distract, Delegate) and Pause-Question-State scripts.

Key Vocabulary:

- **Bystander:** Someone who witnesses harm, bullying, or stereotyping but decides not to intervene or speak up.
- **Upstander:** Someone who takes positive, constructive action to support a targeted peer and challenge injustice.
- **Direct Intervention:** Addressing biased speech or actions in the moment with calm, firm boundaries.
- **Distraction Strategy:** Interrupting harm by changing the topic, redirecting attention, or inviting the peer away.

The Power of Upstanders: Research shows that when a peer speaks up against teasing or stereotyping, the harmful behavior stops within ten seconds more than half the time. Silence is interpreted as agreement. When you speak up, you protect everyone's dignity.

Direct	Distract	Delegate
Address the remark calmly: <i>"That joke mocks someone's heritage. We do not do that here."</i>	Change the topic or create an exit: <i>"Hey Maya, come sit with us, we are working on the art project."</i>	Alert a trusted adult, counselor, or teacher if the situation escalates or feels unsafe.

The Pause-Question-State Script:

- **Pause & Name:** "Hold on, that costume mimics sacred regalia, not a fictional character."
- **Question Intent:** "What message do you think that sends about living Native communities?"
- **State Value:** "In our school, someone's culture is never a disposable prop."

1. Read the school situations below. Choose the most effective Upstander Strategy (**Direct**, **Distract**, or **Delegate**) and explain why your choice keeps students safe while stopping the harm.

Situation	Best 3D Strategy	Why This Strategy Is Effective

In the cafeteria, two older students are loudly mocking an ESL student's accent and blocking their tray.		
In a group chat, a friend shares a meme that uses caricatures of Indigenous people for a costume laugh.		
In the hallway before homeroom, a peer is about to put on a mock geisha wig for spirit day.		

2. Practice crafting an upstander intervention.

(a) Using the **Pause-Question-State** framework, write a three-sentence script you could say to a close friend who is planning to wear a caricatured Mexican poncho and mustache to the school dance.

(b) **Challenge Extension:** Explain how peer intervention shifts the culture of an entire middle school over time. Why is student upstander action more influential than principal rules or posted signs alone?

Section 8: Student-Led Awareness Campaign



Learning Objective: Analyze the core components of effective student awareness campaigns; evaluate slogans for audience empathy, evidence, and clear calls to action; design a respectful campaign poster.

Key Vocabulary:

- **Awareness Campaign:** An organized student effort to inform peers, reshape community norms, and foster mutual respect.
- **Call to Action:** A clear, practical instruction telling the audience exactly what positive step to take next.
- **Shaming vs. Educating:** Shaming attacks character and triggers defensiveness; educating explains impact and invites reflection.
- **Advocacy:** Publicly supporting a cause or policy to protect human rights and community belonging.

Lessons from "We're a Culture, Not a Costume": In 2011, university students launched a viral poster series. Instead of yelling or demanding bans, they held photographs of real cultural traditions beside party store caricatures, using simple, humanizing language. The campaign succeeded because it appealed to shared human dignity.

1. Strong Hook	2. Respectful Visual	3. Clear Reason	4. Call to Action
A memorable headline that grabs attention in under 3 seconds.	Authentic cultural imagery or respectful student artwork.	1-2 sentences explaining why identity is not a disposable prop.	A positive suggestion pointing peers toward creative alternatives.

1. Deconstruct the three campaign slogans below. Evaluate whether each uses **Shaming**, **Passive Informing**, or **Effective Educating**, and justify your assessment.

Slogan Option	Style	Critique and Community Impact
"Do not be an ignorant jerk this Halloween! Stop being racist!"		

"Costumes exist in stores, but some people might feel sad about them."		
"Celebrate Creativity, Not Caricatures: Honor Living Heritages with Respect!"		

2. Plan your own student-led campaign message for the middle school hallway.

(a) Create a campaign poster draft. Write an original slogan, a two-sentence educational explanation, and a positive call to action for your peers.

Poster Checklist: (1) Catchy headline (2) Factual reason rooted in respect (3) Clear, creative call to action

Poster Headline / Slogan	
Educational Explanation (2 sentences)	
Positive Call to Action	

(b) **Challenge Extension:** Defend why providing positive, creative costume alternatives educates peers more effectively than publishing a strict list of banned costumes.

Answers

Section 1: Culture, Identity, and Costumes

1.

- **A:** Cultural Appropriation. Impact: Reduces earned, sacred spiritual honors into a disposable novelty party prop, dehumanizing Indigenous nations.
- **B:** Cultural Exchange. Impact: Builds cross-cultural understanding, community connection, and mutual respect through invited participation.
- **C:** Cultural Appreciation. Impact: Honors Mexican heritage through respectful learning, study, and documentation without exploiting or altering the culture.

2. (a) Sacred regalia requires lifetime spiritual service, leadership, and community standing; a costume prop is cheap commercial plastic. A costume wearer can take off the outfit and avoid discrimination, while members of that culture face ongoing, daily systemic bias and cannot take off their lived identity.

2. (b) Intent is what the speaker privately felt; impact is the tangible harm experienced by the community. Good intentions do not remove the historical sting or erase the fact that caricatures normalize prejudice against real people.

Section 2: Everyday Messages and Speaking Up

1.

- **First statement:** Unsupported Claim. It ignores historical context, lacks factual evidence, and dismisses the real-world harm of stereotyping based solely on personal opinion.
- **Second statement:** Reasoned Argument. It is grounded in evidence regarding how stereotyping reduces sacred traditions to caricatures and impacts human dignity.

2. (a) "What made you choose that costume? Did you know that the sombrero and serape caricature Mexican heritage as a punchline? How about we dress up as superheroes or movie characters instead?"

2. (b) Power dynamics mean that dominant groups historically held the power to oppress, marginalize, and silence minority communities. When the dominant group wears a caricature of that community, it reinforces that historical inequality. Fictional characters (astronauts, pirates) do not represent real, historically marginalized groups.

Section 3: It's Just a Joke: Microaggressions

1.

- **Lunch comment:** Subtext: "Your cultural food is abnormal and inferior to mine." Why it punches down: It reinforces the idea that non-dominant cultures do not belong and makes everyday family traditions an object of disgust.

- **English comment:** Subtext: "People of your race or ethnicity are usually uneducated or foreign." Why it punches down: It treats belonging as a surprise and questions the student's intellect based on background.
- **Hair comment:** Subtext: "Your body is a curiosity or petting-zoo exhibit for my entertainment." Why it punches down: It violates bodily autonomy and treats Black hair textures as strange rather than natural.

2. (a) Forcing someone to explain the joke strips away the protective shield of "just kidding." The speaker has to state the underlying stereotype out loud, exposing the prejudice and ending the laughter immediately.

2. (b) When casual jokes go unchallenged, peers assume biased language is socially acceptable. This normalizes caricatures in costumes, which leads to dehumanization where marginalized peers are treated as objects or props rather than full human beings.

Section 4: When Costumes Carry History

1. (a) Darkening skin mimics 19th-century minstrelsy, which used burnt cork to portray Black people as subhuman and justify enslavement. Altering skin tone connects directly to systemic racial violence, erasing personal good intentions.

1. (b) Alex can wear a 1936 USA track and field jersey, running shorts, running spikes, and mock gold medals.

2. (a) A jersey or uniform honors specific personal athletic achievements and story. Altering skin color or copying racial features mimics historical racial caricatures that treated an entire race as a costume.

2. (b) Symbols carry collective historical memory and trauma that belong to the public sphere, not the individual. Because minstrelsy was used as state-sanctioned propaganda to enforce segregation and violence, no individual wearer has the authority to declare that trauma erased.

Section 5: Cosplay and Respectful Representation

1.

- **Black Panther:** Respectful. Cosplays a fictional superhero character using his hero suit, without altering natural skin tone.
- **Arabian Sheikh:** Not respectful. Reduces an entire ethnic and regional population to a caricature. Fix: Cosplay a specific fictional character, like Aladdin from the animated film, focusing on his vest and magic lamp.
- **Scottish wedding:** Respectful. Invited cultural exchange with family guidance and genuine cultural context.
- **Maui bodysuit:** Not respectful. Polynesian tatau are sacred ancestral marks and wearing someone's skin as a suit is degrading. Fix: Carry Maui's magical fish hook made of cardboard and wear a green sash over everyday clothes.

2. (a) Model answer: Instead of an "Indigenous Warrior" gag costume, dress as Hawkeye or Katniss Everdeen by carrying a prop bow, wearing a quiver of arrows, and wearing a fictional archer's tunic.

2. (b) (1) Seek guidance from host community members on appropriate attire; (2) Understand the meaning and etiquette of the garments; (3) Never treat the attire as a photo prop or party joke outside the invited setting.

Section 6: What Did You Really Say?

1.

- **Where are you from:** Intent: Friendly curiosity about ancestry. Impact: Signals that the listener is a perpetual foreigner who does not truly belong in their own country or school.
- **Articulate:** Intent: Giving a compliment on presentation skills. Impact: Implies low expectations for people of the listener's racial or ethnic group.
- **Do not see color:** Intent: Expressing a desire for fairness and equality. Impact: Erases the listener's racial identity and denies their real encounters with discrimination.

2. (a) "Maya, I wanted to apologize for my question about your hijab earlier. I realize that asking why you wear it and calling it uncomfortable was intrusive and made you feel singled out. In the future, I will respect everyone's religious attire and think carefully before commenting on classmates' clothing."

2. (b) Maya felt like an outsider whose personal religious devotion was being put on trial in front of peers. Questioning someone's clothing makes school unsafe because it signals that belonging is conditional on blending in with the majority.

Section 7: Say Something, Change Something

1.

- **Cafeteria:** Delegate. Older students blocking an ESL student involves a power imbalance and potential physical confrontation; finding an adult ensures safety while halting the bullying.
- **Group chat:** Direct. In a digital peer space, directly stating that mocking Indigenous regalia is hurtful sets an immediate group norm without physical danger.
- **Hallway:** Distract or Direct. A direct, quiet check-in before the bell gives the friend a chance to take off the wig before entering class and facing school-wide fallout.

2. (a) "Hold on, that outfit turns Mexican heritage into a cartoon caricature rather than celebrating real culture. What message do you think that sends to Mexican American students in our grade? In our school, nobody's background is a disposable party costume, so let's pick a fun duo from a movie instead."

2. (b) When students speak up, they establish social norms from within the peer group. Adult rules often cause students to sneak around regulations, but peer upstanders make casual bias socially unacceptable, creating a genuine culture of mutual respect.

Section 8: Student-Led Awareness Campaign

1.

- **First slogan:** Shaming. It attacks character, uses aggressive labels, and causes people to become defensive rather than open to learning.
- **Second slogan:** Passive Informing. It lacks emotional resonance, fails to provide a strong claim, and offers no actionable alternative.
- **Third slogan:** Effective Educating. It contrasts caricatures with creativity, promotes a clear positive standard, and provides an actionable call to action.

2. (a)

- **Headline:** "Culture Is Lived, Not Rented for a Night!"
- **Explanation:** Real human heritages carry generations of sacred traditions, family history, and deep pride. When we turn living cultures into party costumes, we reduce real people to punchlines.
- **Call to Action:** Celebrate your imagination this Halloween by dressing as fictional heroes, book characters, or scientific inventions!

2. (b) Strict bans lead to resentment, arguments over technicalities, and defensive behavior. Positive alternatives educate peers on the reasoning behind respect, spark creativity, and empower students to make thoughtful choices voluntarily.